

**STRUCTURE OF ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT COMPLIANCE REPORT:
CHARGE FOR ISAP “DISCUSS & FEEDBACK” ENGAGEMENT**

Purpose, Context, Desired Outcome: The purpose of this engagement is for the ISAP to review and provide feedback on the proposed revised outline for USACE’s annual Adaptive Management Compliance Report (AMCR), with the aim of getting in alignment about the updated AMCR structure.

ISAP Members Anticipated to Participate: All 7 standing members of ISAP

Anticipated Tasks: Participating ISAP members will:

1. Attend a start-up call in late October / early November to:
 - a. Refresh memories about the ISAP’s review of the 2020 AMCR (noting in particular p. 11);
 - b. Receive USACE’s orientation to its proposed outline for the structure of the AMCR going forward; and
 - c. Discuss the charge questions for this review (see next section of this charge).
2. Review and reflect upon the revised draft outline with the charge questions in mind.
3. Submit (through the TPSN) by close of business Monday, November 8, 2021 (or sooner if possible) a brief memo to USACE conveying the ISAP’s responses to the above charge questions.

At USACE’s discretion, the summary of the call referenced in Task 1 could be substituted for Tasks 2 and 3. However, the default assumption is that Tasks 2 and 3 are needed. If cancelled, this will be conveyed by NCECR in writing on behalf of USACE.

Charge Questions:

1. Does the AMCR outline respond adequately to the ISAP’s previous recommendations for AMCR structure and content (per the attached ISAP work product, particularly p. 11)??
2. Does the outline specify adequately the essential adaptive management information that will be included in the AMCR? Relatedly:
 - a. Will the adaptive management information incorporate Indigenous Knowledge?
 - b. If so, how will Indigenous Knowledge be incorporated?
3. Does the outline include the specific benchmarks by which the success of AM actions will be evaluated?

4. Does this AMCR structure make the essential adaptive management and compliance information accessible to the multiple audiences interested in the document (e.g., management agencies, MRRIC, ISAP, technical scientists)?
5. Does the ISAP have additional recommendations that could make the AMCR a more effective disclosure document (that is, serve as a comprehensive annual report on the status and progress of adaptive management under the MRRP conservation program)?
6. Does the ISAP have further suggestions to enhance the proposed new structure for the AMCR?